

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,585	02/13/2001	Akira Ishida	P101201-00013	9722	
75	7590 06/20/2006			EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			YUN, EL	YUN, EUGENE	
Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, DC 20036-5339			2618		
		DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/781,585	ISHIDA, AKIRA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eugene Yun	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 April 2006</u> .					
-,_	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	L. C					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2001</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hakkinen et al. (US 2001/0023185) and Nakano (5,933,782) and further in view of Taguchi (US 6,600,907).

Referring to Claim 1, Hakkinen teaches a radio base station (fig. 2) for performing a radio communication with a plurality of mobile stations with a space division multiplex method (paragraphs [0015] and [0022]).

Hakkinen does not teach transmitting, when the radio base station starts a communication with a mobile station by the space division multiplex method, an instruction to stop performing a diversity reception to the mobile station. Nakano teaches transmitting, when the radio base station starts a communication with a mobile station by the space division multiplex method (see col. 9, lines 18-24), an instruction to stop performing a diversity reception to the mobile station (see the row labeled (4) in fig. 16 and col. 9, lines 32-38), wherein the mobile station stops the diversity reception and performs a reception using one antenna (see col. 7, lines 42-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2618

to provide the teachings of Nakano to said device of Hakkinen in order to maintain efficiency in RF communications and prevent the raising of bit rate.

The combination of Hakkinen and Nakano does not teach the mobile station having a plurality of antennas and then performing a reception using one antenna. Taguchi teaches the mobile station having a plurality of antennas and then performing a reception using one antenna (see col. 2, lines 43-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Taguchi to the modified device of Hakkinen and Nakano in order to improve power consumption in the communications system.

Referring to Claim 2, Hakkinen also teaches a creation means for creating a piece of traffic channel assignment information after receiving a traffic channel assignment request from a mobile station (see paragraph [0033]);

An attachment means for attaching the diversity reception stop instruction to the piece of traffic channel assignment information (see paragraph [0040]) and

A transmission means for transmitting the piece of traffic channel assignment information with the diversity reception stop instruction, to the mobile station as a response to the traffic channel assignment request (see paragraph [0040]).

Referring to Claim 3, Hakkinen teaches a determination means for determining, after receiving a traffic channel assignment request from a mobile station, whether to allow the mobile station to space division multiplex with another mobile station which has communicated with the radio base station (see paragraphs [0015] and the second half of paragraph [0026]);

Art Unit: 2618

a creation means for creating a piece of traffic channel assignment information when the determination means determines to allow the mobile station to space division multiplex with the other mobile station (see paragraph [0015]);

An attachment means for attaching the diversity reception stop instruction to the piece of traffic channel assignment information (see paragraphs [0022] and [0040]) and

A transmission means for transmitting the piece of traffic channel assignment information with the diversity reception stop instruction, to the mobile station as a response to the traffic channel assignment request, and transmitting the diversity reception stop instruction to the other mobile station through a traffic channel (see paragraphs [0033] and [0040]).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2618

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571)272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,585 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2618

EY

Matthew D. Anderson Supervisory Patent Examiner